## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

Deion Javon Richardson,	)	C/A No.: 1:14-1915-SVH
Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	0.7.7.7
Commissioner of Social Security	)	ORDER
Administration,	)	
Defendant.	)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees. [ECF No. 21]. On February 4, 2015, the court reversed the Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff's claim for Supplemental Security Income and remanded the case to the Commissioner for further proceedings. [ECF No. 18]. Plaintiff then properly filed and documented a request for fees, costs, and expenses pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412 ("the EAJA"), totaling \$2,907.57. [ECF No. 21]. The Commissioner filed a response in support of Plaintiff's motion. [ECF No. 22]. Accordingly, the court grants the motion and directs the Commissioner to pay Plaintiff \$2,907.57. Such payment shall constitute a complete release from and bar to any and all further claims that Plaintiff may have under the EAJA to fees, costs, and expenses incurred in connection with disputing the Commissioner's decision. This award is without prejudice to the rights of Plaintiff's counsel to seek attorney fees under section 206(b) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 406(b), subject to the offset provisions of the EAJA.

1:14-cv-01915-SVH Date Filed 03/23/15 Entry Number 24 Page 2 of 2

Under *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 130 S.Ct. 2521, 2528–29 (2010), EAJA fees awarded by this court belong to Plaintiff and are subject to offset under the Treasury Offset Program (31 U.S.C. § 3716(c)(3)(B) (2006)). Therefore, the court orders the EAJA fees to be paid to Plaintiff.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

March 23, 2015 Columbia, South Carolina Shiva V. Hodges United States Magistrate Judge

(Shira V. Hodges